



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/691,782      | 10/19/2000  | Scott R. Smith       | 760-3 RES           | 3708             |

23869 7590 07/08/2003

HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP  
6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE  
SYOSSET, NY 11791

EXAMINER

THALER, MICHAEL H

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

3731

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003

15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/691,782

Applicant(s)  
Smith et al.

Examiner  
Michael Thaler

Art Unit  
3731



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael Thaler

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mark Baron

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Nov. 27, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:  
none

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner indicated that the amendment filed Nov. 13, 2002 did not place the application in condition for allowance since the amendment, if entered, would result in a situation in which there would be no amendment relative to the patent (i.e. no difference between the patent and this application) and thus no "error" in the patent to provide grounds for this reissue application. The attorney indicated that he would respond by phone.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

MICHAEL THALER  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 3731

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required